

University of Sydney Union

The University of Sydney NSW 2006

1800 013 201 (02) 9563 6000

F (02) 9563 6216 E info@usu.usyd.edu.au

W www.usydunion.com

UNIVERSITY OF SYDNEY UNION SECRETARIAT

The attached minutes have been accepted by the Clubs & Societies Committee by resolution as a true and accurate record of the events of the meeting held on the 19 April 2011.

Chair: Sibella Matthews

Date



| Committee Members | Melissa Brooks (Vice President), Sibella Matthews (Chair), James Flynn (Deputy Chair), Cameron Creigh, Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne, Alfred Lee, Mina Nada, Sarah Nguyen, James Sin. |
|----------------------|--|
| USU Staff | Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager), Bryce Williams (Committee Secretary) |
| Visitors | Mai Vu, Nam Khanh Vu, Nguyen Viet Hoang, Elisa Warner, Sai Ganesh Vaheisvaran, Andrew Qiu, Meredith Brown, Ben Coles, Jan Chen, Nat Ware, Michael Shiraev, Kevin Jiang, Cindy Xiong. |

| No# | Details |
|--------------|---|
| | The Chair opened the meeting at 3.06pm. |
| | 1. Apologies And Leaves Of Absence |
| C&S 30/11 | Resolved that apologies be accepted from Alistair Cowie, Gabriel Perrottet and Tim Matthews, that a request for early departure be accepted from Mina Nada and Alfred Lee and that a request for late arrival be accepted from Melissa Brooks and Sarah Nguyen. |
| | James Flynn / Cameron Creigh |
| | 2. Minutes Of The Meeting Held 15 March 2011 |
| C&S 31/11 | Resolved that the minutes of the previous meeting held 15 March 2011 be accepted as a true and accurate record of proceedings. |
| | Timothy Matthews / James Sin |
| | 3. Business Arising From The Minutes |
| | Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether the arrangements (attendance at workshops) resolved in March's committee meeting regarding Beat the System and Resistance had been followed up. |
| | Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager) confirmed that they had been followed up. |
| | Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether Beat the System representatives had been informed about the request to attend the May meeting. |
| | Ms. Vogiatsoglou replied that she would have to check. |
| | Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether changes had been made to ensure natural justice was afforded to clubs coming before the committee. |

justice.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou responded that clubs would be given natural justice and clarified that clubs involved in March's meeting had been afforded natural



C&S Resolved that standing orders be suspended and that discussion move 32/11 to agenda item 4.2. New Club Applications.

Cameron Creigh / Mina Nada

4.2 New Club Applications

Proiect Edge

Cindy Xiong, Marketing Director of Project Edge, explained that Project Edge was a student-run pitching society aiming to improve members' pitching skills. She said that it was an entrepreneurial society, helping members to take business ideas and make them a reality. She explained proposed semester-based programs involving teams of four to five members. She added that the society hoped to develop its members' communication skills and interpersonal relationships, to make them more attractive to investors.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne asked what the difference was between Project Edge and the Young Entrepreneurs Society (YE Society; YES) and asked whether the Project Edge executive had talked to the YE Society.

Ms. Xiong responded that they were more project based.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether the project based work could be incorporated into the YE Society.

Ms. Xiong replied that she was not sure. She noted that the President of YES had been incommunicative and the society itself inactive.

James Flynn asked whether they had considered working within the structures of existing clubs and societies, for example by joining the executive of clubs like COMSOC.

Ms. Xiong responded that COMSOC was focused on networking and linking students with Human Resources departments in companies. She said that Project Edge wanted to help members meet with investors and other entrepreneurs. She noted that she had asked COMSOC for endorsement, and that they had not raised any issues.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked what experience the executive of Project Edge had.

Ms. Xiong replied that she had been on the executive of Chopsticks and on a subcommittee in COMSOC. She said that one of the club's vice presidents also had executive experience.

Cameron Creigh asked to clarify if the executive of Project Edge had attempted to get on the executive of YES.

Ms. Xiong said that they had not considered asking YES for membership. She added that Project Edge's President was looking for active participation, something she didn't find in YES.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether the club had the endorsement of the Sydney Business School.



Ms. Xiong replied that she was not sure.

ACYA

Michael Shiraev, representative of ACYA, thanked the committee for their flexibility in considering the late documents presented. He explained that ACYA was a bilateral, not-for-profit organisation engaged with the Australia-China relationship. He said that the aims of the society were to provide information about scholarships, promote student-institutional dialogue and generate interest in China-related offerings at the university.

Mr. Shiraev explained that the key activities of the society included panel discussions and fora. He noted that an event considering Sino-Middle Eastern geopolitics had been planned for Week 11 and that 6 careers sessions had been planned for the semester. He described the 'Engaging in China' project which matched people who had experienced working and studying in China with high schools.

Sarah Nguyen entered the meeting at 3.18pm.

Mr. Shiraev added that the society was involved with promoting the ACY Dialogue (ACYD).

Mr. Flynn requested clarification on what had changed since the denial of their previous application, and how they were a unique organisation.

Mr. Shiraev noted the letters of support from all of the societies that had been raised previously as potentially overlapping. He said that those societies were primarily involved in social activities and cultural appreciation, while SUCSA was focused on English-language help for international students.

Jan Chen, representative of ACYA, added that they emphasised educational and career aspects, which were not emphasised by other societies on campus, especially not with the same bilateral focus.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked why the executive of ACYA could not conduct their activities within the ambit of other societies.

Mr. Shiraev said that they had talked to other societies and that their activities were distinct, due to their social focus. He noted that ACYA is a national organisation, with a presence in other universities. He said that the brand adds weight to the society in terms of booking high-profile speakers and promoting the ACYD.

Ms. Chen added that the society was for people interested in China, not just for Chinese people, unlike SUCSA which was targeted at Chinese students. She noted that some societies held their meetings in Chinese.

James Sin requested clarification of the two presidential positions.

Mr. Shiraev replied that the dual-presidency allowed two-track activity coordination at the same level of authority – for example, the President (China) could speak to Chinese HR organisations in Mandarin.



Mr. Flynn asked about who had full and final leadership responsibilities within the society, for example in the case of a regulatory breach. He noted that the responsibilities could be divided among Vice Presidents.

- Mr. Shiraev replied that the final authority would be in the President (Australia) as they had the most authority over Sydney-based activities.
- Mr. Flynn asked whether ACYA would be amenable to constitutional review.
- Mr. Shiraev replied affirmatively.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked to clarify whether the role of President (China) had racial requirements.
- Mr. Shiraev replied that the position covers different work, not different nationalities.

C&S Resolved that standing orders be suspended and that discussion move to agenda item 5. Other Business.

Cameron Creigh / Alfred Lee

5. Other Business

Anime Society

Andrew Qiu, President of SU Anime, explained that the T-Shirt Funding application had been as the T-shirts had the old USU logo. He said that logo change had been overlooked and only discovered in February at the Information Week.

James Flynn noted that the executive list was also late.

- Mr. Qiu responded that the delay was a technology issue the list was ready before the deadline but did not bulk upload properly.
- Mr. Flynn asked whether steps had been taken to resolve the issue.
- Mr. Qiu replied that steps were only taken after the funding application had been rejected, as he was under the impression that it did upload.

Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager) requested clarification on why the logo error was only discovered in February when a rebranding information session was held in October/November last year.

Mr. Qiu replied that he was not aware of the change. He explained that the club's AGM was in November and at that time, it was in the process of transition.

James Sin asked about the value of the funding application and the impact on the club of rejection.

Mr. Qiu replied that 70 shirts were purchased at \$7.50 each with the goal of selling them. He noted that they were probably unlikely to sell well. He said that the club would be down about \$500 from an account of \$4800.



Food Coop

Elisa Warner, Secretary of SU Food Co-op, tabled a letter to the committee. She said that the error in not updating the list was the result of unintentional oversight due to the combination of special business activities undertaken by the Co-op, including restocking, cleaning and recruiting and the unavailability of many executive members. She thanked the committee for their support and stressed the reliance of the co-op on the funds.

Sarah Nguyen asked whether the society had any interaction with the C&S Office during January and February, including Treasurer's conferences in February where funding requirements were laid out.

Ms. Warner said that the Treasurer had attended that workshop.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked what system the society had in place to store member details and how much money was at stake.

Ms. Warner replied that members' details were stored in an excel file. She said that the funding under consideration was \$330, and added that everything was important when it came to funding.

Madrigal Society

Meredith Brown, President of the Madrigal Society, explained that she had delegated the task of uploading the executive list to the Secretary and had assumed it had been completed. When she had contacted the C&S Office on a different matter she was under the impression that the society was up to date, and was not aware of the issue until the suspension notice. She said that the executive was the same, except for a difference in one person's Access number.

Mr. Sin asked whether the failure to upload the list was based on the fact that none of the executive had changed.

Ms. Brown explained that it was the result of a misreading of the requirements, unrelated to the fact that the executive was the same.

Mina Nada requested clarification of the reasons behind recommending rejection.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou said that the issue was not that one number was incorrect, but that no list had been uploaded. She stressed that new member lists and executive lists were requested every year, even if they had not changed.

VDS

Mai Vu, President of SU VDS, said that the society sought the lifting of probationary status and continued membership in the program for a 12 month period. She explained that the society was designed for Vietnamese overseas students, distinguishable from the local-student aims of the VSA. She said that the VDS had just expanded to Sydney University, but that the parent organisation had been running for 13 years. She noted that the society had planned activities for Easter, in August and in October.

Cameron Creigh asked how many members were in the society presently.



Ms. Vu replied that the VDS had 34 Access members and 50 other members (affiliated with the parent organisation ('VDS State') but not SU VDS).

Sibella Matthews, the Chair, said that the involvement of VDS State could raise issues of control by an external organisation.

Nam Vu, Treasurer of SU VDS, said that the society does not have to follow the instructions of the VDS State. He noted that cooperation on activities were possible, but that the branch was independent from VDS State.

Mr. Creigh asked whether VDS State provides the society with financial support.

Ms. Vu replied that financial support would be provided from joint profits and activities.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether event attendees were charged differentially based on membership status.

Ms. Vu responded affirmatively.

Ms. Matthews asked when the club had been formally established at the University of Sydney.

Mr. Vu replied that the club had been established six months ago, in October 2010.

WASABI

Sai Ganesh Vaheisvaran, President of SU WASABI explained that the club's funding application had been rejected as a new executive list had been submitted. He noted that the existing list is marked as valid until September 2011. He added that no changes had been made to the executive.

Mr. Vaheisvaran said that the club's treasurer had spoken to Jarrah at the C&S Office, where he was informed that the new membership list needed to be uploaded, and no mention was made of the executive list. The membership list was uploaded.

Ms. Matthews asked why the executive list had still not been uploaded.

Mr. Vaheisvaran replied that the club wanted to clarify the matter with the committee first.

Mr. Sin asked about the impact of the rejection on the club's finances.

Mr. Vaheisvaran replied that the entire \$350 limit had been used. He said that the club's bank account amounted to \$10,000, including donations that had not been transferred, and approximately \$6,000 without the donations. He added that the club had faced a lot of funding rejections, and that this resulted from a small error that could be corrected.

Ms. Matthews noted that a long list of rejections does not reflect positively on



the club. She asked whether the executive had plans to attend training sessions.

Mr. Vaheisvaran replied that the Treasurer was attending a training session on May the 21st. He clarified that one of the other funding rejections was due to a vice-presidents' misunderstanding of event close-off dates.

Mr. Nada noted that the marking of the list as valid to September 2011 was misleading.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou responded that every time a document was uploaded, the validity was marked as the club's AGM month.

C&S Resolved that standing orders be suspended and that discussion move to agenda item 4.3. Constitutional Amendments.

Cameron Creigh / Alfred Lee

4.3 Constitutional Amendments 180 Degrees

Ben Coles, representative of SU 180 Degrees, explained that the constitutional amendments were the result of a number of attempts, with the changes being passed unanimously at a meeting of more than 100 members. He said that the radical changes were required to incorporate the society. Incorporation was desirable to minimise members' exposure to legal liabilities. He added that the change was recommended by the club's sponsors, McKinsey.

Mr. Coles noted the three main reasons for the differences between the society's constitution and the model constitution:

- 1. Need to comply with NSW regulations for incorporation
- 2. Nature of the work conducted by the society (competitive business environment, dealing with third parties)
- 3. Clauses are located in different places (for example, s42, a broad, catch-all clause to incorporate all USU requirements).

Nat Ware, representative of SU 180 Degrees, added that the amendments had been passed at the third general meeting, after being rejected twice before. He noted that the amendments were the result of independent legal advice.

Melissa Brooks asked whether the Board should see the legal advice provided to the society.

Mr. Coles replied that the advice had been provided orally by Alex Ottoway.

Sibella Matthews, the Chair, asked whether the society had received advice from the C&S Office.

Mr. Coles replied that he had spoken to James Flynn.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne suggested that the amendments and responses be examined point-by-point.



C&S Resolved that the meeting be suspended for two minutes. 35/11

Cameron Creigh / Alfred Lee

The meeting reconvened at 4.05pm.

Ms. Brooks said that she was not sure if the Union could affiliate with an incorporated club. She suggested that it may not be a valid use of discussion time if it needs to be reconsidered by Board.

Nat Ware noted that the EU and SULS were also incorporated.

James Flynn concurred with Mr. Ware's comments.

Ms. Brooks suggested that the society's representatives should talk with the Board directly.

Melissa Brooks and Mina Nada left the meeting at 4.06pm.

Alfred Lee noted that he was aware of other business relating to the Accounting and Auditing Society's executive positions.

Ms. Matthews suggested that the society's representatives should make a submission to the committee for it to be considered.

Mr. Ware said that he had received advice from Alistair Cowie, director of Student Programs, that incorporation was not a concern. He noted that further communication with the C&S Office did not raise incorporation as an issue.

Mr. Flynn noted that incorporated societies were still audited by the USU and that the EU had operated successfully for 10 years, and had no staff issues, despite a large budget.

Alfred Lee left the meeting at 4.09pm.

Ms. Matthews clarified that the incorporation would protected the Union by reducing its liability in relation to the society.

Mr. Ware stressed that the primary focus was to protect members, especially Access members.

Mr. Flynn noted that the Union's liability would be lower compared to non-incorporated societies.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether the changes needed to be implemented as soon as possible.

Mr. Ware replied that it was very important, considering the scale of the society's current operations.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his desire to have an input over the



process, but acknowledged jurisdictional issues.

Mr. Flynn noted that the primary issue was not incorporation, but the clauses noted in the report.

Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager) stressed the need for proper lines of communication between club representatives and the C&S Office. She noted that the C&S Office did not have problem with incorporation.

Ms. Matthews acknowledged partial responsibility for misguiding Mr. Ware. She suggested that the clauses should be discussed individually.

Mr. Ware recognised the concern of the committee in that the society presented a slightly unusual case.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne suggested that the Union would have to look at the amendments and consider independent legal advice.

Mr. Flynn said that the EU set a precedent and that it was not an issue.

Mr. Ware reiterated that no issues had been raised about incorporation.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his concern about the society's membership clauses, including ss2.2 and 3.2. He said that the method of admission should be prescribed by the constitution and not a committee. He asked about the application process in s.3.2.

Mr. Ware stressed that flexibility was important, in allowing different methods of registration that could change over time, including sending an email, going to O-Week and registering on the society's website.

Sarah Nguyen asked Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne what his primary concerns with the clauses were.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne responded that the clause gave the committee free reign to determine admission procedures.

Mr. Ware said that the process was deliberately kept flexible. He noted that any deviations from the model constitution were deliberate and should not be automatic grounds for rejection, as the model constitution was designed to be a template for the purposes of equity, education and clarity.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his concern about the wording of the clause, in that restrictions could be prescribed by the committee.

Ms. Matthews noted that if there was an insurmountable issue with one clause, the whole amendment would fail.

Mr. Ware noted the concerns raised by the C&S Office but suggested that they should not invalidate the constitution.

Ms. Matthews asked what the most contentious points were.



Mr. Ware replied that points 2, 7 and 10 in the report were the most contentious. He clarified that election was required for any position of power, and that appointees did not have any power. He said that appointees were required to respond to new needs that arose, akin to the IT and Queer Officers in SULS.

James Sin said that other methods were available to get expertise in a meeting and that special positions were not required.

- Mr. Ware responded that the appointees were to have long-term involvement, including lining up projects and working with the executive.
- Mr. Sin noted that there was nothing stopping people doing executive-style duties without a specific role.
- Mr. Ware replied that there were positive reasons for appointment, including the ability to book rooms. He said that expecting members to take on executive-style tasks without formal positions was unacceptable. He said that there were no power issues involved as appointees would be restricted to booking rooms and interacting with the C&S Office.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne asked whether appointees should have that power.
- Mr. Ware noted that other elected executives, for example, on the Board and in Parliament, had the power to appoint.
- Ms. Matthews asked whether appointment was disallowed by C&S.
- Ms. Vogiatsoglou responded that appointments were considered undemocratic.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne said that he had no issues with clause 11a, but still had concerns about 11b. His concerns related to the power of appointees within the program, not whether appointment was democratic or not.
- Mr. Ware said that the inefficient alternative was to have members calling up the executive continuously.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne noted that other societies operate in that manner.
- Mr. Flynn said that clubs should run the way members want them to.
- Mr. Ware asked what powers the committee had specific issues with.
- Mr. Sin replied that he had issues with access to files and room bookings.
- Mr. Ware expressed his concern that these issues were minor and that they involved no substantive power.
- Mr. Sin noted that the committee was not bound by precedent.
- Mr. Ware noted the committee's concerns about democratic processes and appointee powers. He said that appointment was conducted by elected members and that giving booking powers to appointees was an argument for



the change, not against it.

Resolved that the meeting be extended by half an hour.

C&S James Sin / Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne 36/11

Ms. Matthews said that she would have requested the materials tabled by 180 Degrees representatives at an earlier time to give the committee ample time for consideration.

Mr. Flynn said that the committee had dealt with large issues before and that discussion should not be stifled.

Mr. Sin asked whether discussion should be deferred.

Ms. Matthews said that she wanted to get the opinion of the committee, if it was discussed by the Board.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne noted the time issues involved in considering the amendments.

Mr. Ware stressed that every clause had been carefully considered and designed to resolve complex issues.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne noted that the clauses were well drafted, but said that membership issues remained.

Mr. Flynn noted that different clubs had different processes for membership.

Mr. Ware said that if the club contravenes any regulations, the C&S Office can cancel the society, due to the catch-all clause.

Mr. Sin asked Mr. Ware to elaborate on s.4.3 expulsion procedures, which were foreign to the C&S program.

Mr. Ware replied that the section was required by NSW law and that expulsion would be exercised in cases of illegal activity.

Mr. Sin asked for clarification about the process of expulsion.

Mr. Ware replied that the processes would be consistent with C&S requirements.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou noted that explusion only occurred in exceptional circumstances, and that in a previous instance, the Board needed to be involved.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his acceptance of the clause, but stressed the need for transparency in the process. He said that he had issues with the appeal process.

Mr. Ware replied that the constitution was a very long document, and that it was not appropriate to include every hypothetical situation in the document.



He noted that the C&S Office would oversee the appeal process and that it would be conducted on a case-by-case basis.

- Mr. Flynn noted that the resolutions of the society's General Meetings would come to the C&S Office's attention.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his concern about specific elements of the amendments in relation to the Union's objectives, including the protection of members, which was embedded in the system.
- Mr. Ware said that every society's constitution should not incorporate every C&S regulation as they will change and thus require further constitutional amendment. He noted that the catch-all clause meant that the society was bound automatically by any changes to C&S regulations.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne said that the regulations and model constitution serve different functions.
- Mr. Ware said that no-one was bound by changes to the model constitution.
- Mr. Flynn said that the process of changes was stipulative, and that regulatory changes have automatic application in any case.
- Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his agreement that the model constitution acts as a template, but noted that the reasons for the clauses were to ensure that the societies fitted with USU objectives.
- Ms. Matthews noted that if the committee were not comfortable making a decision, then they could make a recommendation for Board consideration or consider the matter again in a month's time after external advice was sought.
- Mr. Ware said that the society had incorporated all the requirements of NSW law and the model constitution into their constitution. He added that he was happy to speak to the Board about the constitution, but was concerned that these complications would mean postponement.
- Ms. Matthews said that it would be negligent to make this decision without external advice and more discussion.
- Mr. Sin expressed his concern about point 10, in which voting rights were restricted to members over the age of 18.
- Mr. Ware noted that it was a legal requirement and that disenfranchisement was an unlikely situation (as AGMs were to be held in second semester) that was unlikely to influence outcomes. He said that the amendments were an easy choice between these minor issues and legal protection for society's members.
- Mr. Sin noted that first year members could be disenfranchised at ordinary meetings in the first semester.
- Ms. Matthews asked whether the same issues faced the EU.
- Mr. Flynn responded that the EU was built on a different model.



Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne suggested that the matter be considered by the Board, after looking at the committee's minutes. Ms. Matthews suggested that committee members make submissions to the Board, and invited the members to the Board's discussion. Mr. Flynn noted that committee members would not have voting rights, but could attend the Board meeting and express their opinions beforehand. C&S Resolved to refer the Constitutional Amendment Application of SU 37/11 180 Degrees Society to the Board for final decision (per s6.3.9 of the USU Regulations). James Flynn / Cameron Creigh C&S Resolved that standing orders be suspended and that discussion move 38/11 to agenda item 4.1. Clubs and Societies Manager's Report. James Flynn / Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne 4.1 Clubs and Societies Manager's Report C&S Resolved to accept the tabling of the Clubs and Societies Manager's 39/11 Report. James Flynn / Cameron Creigh C&S Resolved to accept the Clubs and Societies Manager's Report. 40/11 James Flynn / Sarah Nguyen 4.2 New Club Applications C&S Resolved to accept Recommendation 1 of the New Club Applications 41/11 Report: Project Edge – That the reconsideration of the requested new club application be rejected as the club is not unique. Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne / Cameron Creigh ACYA Sibella Matthews, the Chair, noted a conflict of interest created by her acquaintance of one of the applicants. She noted the club's desire and effort in developing a different proposal. She acknowledged their difficulties in properly corresponding with the C&S Office. She suggested that they should be accepted on a probationary basis.

that they needed a mandate to be accepted into the program.

James Flynn noted that clubs were not appraised on the basis of effort, and



Ms. Matthews clarified that her comments regarding the club's effort were comments, not justifications.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed his opposition in principle to the club, but noted the value of the Australia-China link to students and the positive implications of association with the ACYA brand. He stressed the need to look at the value ACYA would bring to members.

James Sin indicated his inclination to reject the application. He said that its activities and objectives could be delivered as part of another club. He noted that there had been no attempt to get on the executive of other societies. He said that he was not satisfied regarding the clubs' control by an external organisation. He said that he had issues with the responsibility of the two Presidents.

Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager) said that no other club had two Presidents.

Ms. Matthews noted the role of the President (China) position was important in developing relations with Chinese associations.

Cameron Creigh asked whether the existence of two Presidents was the only issue.

Sarah Nguyen said that issues remained about conflicts between societies in practice. She said that the same matters could be covered by extending existing societies. She added that it was confusing for members.

Mr. Sin encouraged ACYA executives to try and get on the executive of other clubs.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne noted the practical difficulties associated with Mr. Sin's suggestion.

Ms. Matthews put a motion to accept the ACYA New Club Application. The motion was not seconded. Mr. Sin put a foreshadowed motion to reject the Application. The foreshadowed motion was not seconded.

Ms. Vogiatsoglou noted that the club had done many things incorrectly, including appealing to the University after their rejection, and not checking the receipt of their documents by email. She expressed her agreement with Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne's opinion about the importance of the Australia-China relationship to members and Mr. Sin's opinion about the existence of other clubs. She suggested that the club could be accepted on a six month probationary basis and that 15 minutes could be reserved for discussion at the review meeting.

Ms. Matthews expressed her support of a motion to accept the club on that basis.

Mr. Flynn said that he would second such a motion.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne expressed concern that reviews of probationary status were never conducted properly.



Ms. Vogiatsoglou suggested that the motion lie on the table for discussion at the next meeting, pending further consideration and questions.

Mr. de Silva Wijeyeratne said that further questions were not required, and that the primary issue was whether the committee was comfortable with the society joining the program or not.

4.4 Capital Expenditure

C&S Resolved that the following recommendations contained in the 42/11 Capital Expenditures Report be accepted *en bloc*:

SU Creative Anachronists Society - That the requested Capital Expenditure for \$249.00 be accepted.

SU Movement & Dance Society - That the requested Capital Expenditure for \$116.95 be accepted.

SU Statistic Society - That the requested Capital Expenditure for \$165.00 be accepted.

James Flynn / Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne

5. Other Business

C&S Resolved to accept Recommendations 1 and 2 of the Other Business 43/11 Report:

SU Anime Society - Club are appealing O-Week Funding application. Funding rejection to be upheld.

SU Anime Society - Club are appealing T-Shirt funding application. To be funded as a cross over period required for logo change.

Sarah Nguyen / James Flynn

C&S Resolved to accept the appeal of SU Food Co-Op in relation to their O-44/11 Week Funding application.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne / Sarah Nguyen

C&S Resolved to accept the appeal of SU Madrigal Society in relation to their O-Week Funding application.

James Flynn / James Sin

James Sin said that reasons for VDS' probationary extension should be disclosed to the club.

James Flynn said that the issue had not been discussed in enough depth.



C&S Resolved that the application of SU Vietnamese Dynamic Student Club for lift of probationary registration *lie on the table*.

James Flynn / Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne

Cameron Creigh said that WASABI were the only club that had been told they had done everything.

Angela Vogiatsoglou (Clubs and Societies Manager) said that a line had to be drawn and that the club needed to take responsibility for its errors.

C&S Resolved to accept Recommendation 5 of the Other Business Report: 47/11

SU WASABI - Club are appealing O-Week Funding application. Funding rejection to be upheld.

James Flynn / Cameron Creigh

C&S Resolved to extend the meeting by 10 minutes. 48/11

James Flynn / Cameron Creigh

4.3 Constitutional Amendments

C&S Resolved to accept Recommendations 2, 3, 5, 6 and 7 of the 49/11 Constitutional Amendments Report, *en bloc*:

SU Australia-Chinese Cultural Appreciation Society - That the SU Australia-Chinese Cultural Appreciation Society constitution be accepted except for AGM month from March to October. C&S Office also recommend model constitutional amendments to be made.

SU Chinese Students Association - The C&S Office recommends approval of SU Chinese Student Association Society executive amendment.

SU Epicurean Society - The C&S Office recommends approval of executive amendments made to the SU Epicurean Society.

SU Hong Kong Club - The C&S Office recommends approval of SU Hong Kong Club executive amendments.

SU Master of Management/CEM Club - C&S Office recommends approval of SU Master of Management/CEM Club executive amendment but rejects the AGM amendment and that Honorary membership wording be removed as this type of membership does not exist.

Anshu de Silva Wijeyeratne / James Flynn



| WIIII |
|--|
| 6. Date of the Next Meeting |
| – Tuesday 17 th May, 3pm, The Loggia. |
| 7. Close of Meeting |
| The Chair closed the meeting at 5.10pm. |